

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

65 East State Street, Suite 312
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 466-0880

CT 309

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 6/90

IMPORTANT: Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application" for assistance in the proper completion of this form.

APPLICANT NAME
STREET

Village of North Bend

21 Taylor Avenue

North Bend, Ohio 45052

CITY/ZIP

PROJECT NAME
PROJECT TYPE
TOTAL COST

Cliff Road Slide Correction

Slide Correction

\$ 114,000

DISTRICT NUMBER
COUNTY

2

Hamilton

PROJECT LOCATION ZIP CODE

45052

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY ENGINEER
90 SEP 14 8:06
14 spc

DISTRICT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION

To be completed by the District Committee ONLY

RECOMMENDED AMOUNT OF FUNDING:

\$ 91,200.00

FUNDING SOURCE (Check Only One):

State Issue 2 District Allocation

☒

Grant

Loan

Loan Assistance

State Issue 2 Small Government Fund

State Issue 2 Emergency Funds

Local Transportation Improvement Fund

FOR OPWC USE ONLY

OPWC PROJECT NUMBER: _____

OPWC FUNDING AMOUNT: \$ _____

1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

1.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

TITLE
STREET

Larry Drew
Mayor
21 Taylor Avenue

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

North Bend, Ohio 45052
(513) 941 - 0610
() -

1.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

TITLE
STREET

Maureen Glacken
Clerk/Treasurer, Vil. of North Bend
21 Taylor Avenue

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

North Bend, Ohio 45052
(513) 941 - 0610
() -

1.3 PROJECT MGR

TITLE
STREET

Leo Gillespie
Maintenance Superintendant
21 Taylor Avenue

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

North Bend, Ohio 45052
(513) 941 - 0610
() -

1.4 PROJECT CONTACT

TITLE
STREET

Larry Drew
Mayor
21 Taylor Avenue

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

North Bend, Ohio 45052
(513) 941 - 0610
() -

1.5 DISTRICT LIAISON

TITLE
STREET

Donald C. Schramm
Hamilton Co. Engineer
700 County Admin. Bldg.
138 E. Court Street

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 632 - 8532
() -

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

IMPORTANT: If project is multi-jurisdictional in nature, information must be consolidated for completion of this section.

2.1 **PROJECT NAME:** Cliff Road Slide Correction

2.2 **BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through D):**

A. SPECIFIC LOCATION:

Cliff Road between Brower Road and Congress Green Street

B. PROJECT COMPONENTS:

380 L.F. Pier retaining wall including berm repair and guardrail installation.

C. PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS:

380' long slide areas along Cliff Road.

D. DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household.

The load carrying capacity of Cliff Road has been reduced to 5 tons. Rehabilitation by means of a pier retaining wall is necessary to make Cliff Road a safe, passable route serving the Village of North Bend.

2.3 **REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

(Photographs/Additional Description; Capital Improvements Report; Priority List; 5-year Plan; 2-year Maintenance of Effort report, etc.) Also discuss the number of temporary and/or fulltime jobs which are likely to be created as a result of this project. Attach Pages. Refer to accompanying instructions for further detail.

3.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

3.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar):

a)	Project Engineering Costs:	
	1. Preliminary Engineering	\$ _____
	2. Final Design	\$ _____
	3. Construction Supervision	\$ _____
b)	Acquisition Expenses	
	1. Land	\$ _____
	2. Right-of-Way	\$ _____
c)	Construction Costs	\$ 99,000
d)	Equipment Costs	\$ _____
e)	Other Direct Expenses	\$ _____
f)	Contingencies	\$ 15,000
g)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	\$ 114,000

3.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

	Dollars	%
a) Local In-Kind Contributions *	\$ _____	_____
b) Local Public Revenues	\$ 22,800	20
c) Local Private Revenues	\$ _____	_____
d) Other Public Revenues		
	1. ODOT	\$ _____
	2. FMHA	\$ _____
	3. OEPA	\$ _____
	4. OWDA	\$ _____
	5. CDBG	\$ _____
	6. Other _____	\$ _____
e) OPWC Funds		
	1. Grant	\$ 91,200 80
	2. Loan	\$ _____
	3. Loan Assistance	\$ _____
f) TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES	\$ 114,000	100

* If the required local match is to be 100% In-Kind Contributions, list source of funds to be used for retainage purposes:

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

Indicate the status of all local share funding sources listed in section 3.2(a) through 3.4(c). In addition, if funds are coming from sources listed in section 3.2(d), the following information must be attached to this project application:

- 1) The date funds are available;
- 2) Verification of funds in the form of an agency approval letter or agency project number. Please include the name and number of the agency contact person.

3.4 PREPAID ITEMS

Definitions:

Cost -	Total Cost of the Prepaid Item.
Cost Item -	Non-construction costs, including preliminary engineering, final design, acquisition expenses (land or right-of-way).
Prepaid -	Cost items (non-construction costs directly related to the project), paid prior to receipt of fully executed Project Agreement from OPWC.
Resource Category -	Source of funds (see section 3.2).
Verification -	Invoice(s) and copies of warrant(s) used to for prepaid costs, accompanied by Project Manager's Certification (see section 1.4).

IMPORTANT: Verification of all prepaid items shall be attached to this project application.

	<u>COST ITEM</u>	<u>RESOURCE CATEGORY</u>	<u>COST</u>
1)	_____	_____	\$ _____
2)	_____	_____	\$ _____
3)	_____	_____	\$ _____
TOTAL OF PREPAID ITEMS			\$ <u>N/A</u>

3.5 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION

This section need only be completed if the Project is to be funded by SI2 funds:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT	\$ <u>114,000.00</u>	<u>100</u> %
State Issue 2 Funds for Repair/Replacement (Not to Exceed 90%)	\$ <u>91,200.00</u>	<u>90</u>
TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION	\$ _____	_____ %
State Issue 2 Funds for New/Expansion (Not to Exceed 50%)	\$ _____	_____

4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

	ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
4.1 ENGR. DESIGN	<u>3</u> / <u>1</u> / <u>91</u>	<u>5</u> / <u>1</u> / <u>91</u>
4.2 BID PROCESS	<u>5</u> / <u>1</u> / <u>91</u>	<u>6</u> / <u>1</u> / <u>91</u>
4.3 CONSTRUCTION	<u>6</u> / <u>1</u> / <u>91</u>	<u>8</u> / <u>1</u> / <u>91</u>

5.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

The Applicant Certifies That:

As the official representative of the Applicant, the undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally empowered to represent the applicant in both requesting and accepting financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code and 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are a part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are a part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the Applicant; (4) and, should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the Applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in this application has not begun, and will not begin, until a Project Agreement on this project has been issued by the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary is evidence that OPWC funds are not necessary to complete this project.

IMPORTANT: In the event of a project cost underrun, applicant understands that the identified local match share (sections 3.2(a) through 3.2(c)) will be paid in full toward completion of this project. Unneeded OPWC funds will be returned to the funding source from which the project was financed.

LAWRENCE P. DREW MAYOR Village of North Bend
Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)

Mayor Lawrence P. Drew 11-29-90
Signature/Date Signed

Applicant shall check each of the statements below, confirming that all required information is included in this application:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A <u>five-year Capital Improvements Report</u> as required in 164-1-31 of the Ohio Administrative Code and a <u>two-year Maintenance of Local Effort Report</u> as required in 164-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A registered professional engineer's estimate of useful life as required in 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> . |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A registered professional engineer's estimate of cost as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> . |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | YES
N/A | A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) (for projects involving more than one subdivision or district). |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | YES
N/A | Copies of all invoices and warrants for those items identified as "pre-paid" in section 4.4 of this application. |

6.0 DISTRICT COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

The District Integrating Committee for District Number 2 Certifies That:

As the official representative of the District Public Works Integrating Committee, the undersigned hereby certifies: that this application for financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code has been duly selected by the appropriate body of the District Public Works Integrating Committee; that the project's selection was based entirely on an objective, District-oriented set of project evaluation criteria and selection methodology that are fully reflective of and in conformance with Ohio Revised Code Sections 164.05, 164.06, and 164.14, and Chapter 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; and that the amount of financial assistance hereby recommended has been prudently derived in consideration of all other financial resources available to the project. As evidence of the District's due consideration of required project evaluation criteria, the results of this project's ratings under such criteria are attached to this application.

DONALD C. SCHRAMM, CHAIRPERSON, DISTRICT # 2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE


Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)

Donald C. Schramm 11/27/90

Signature/Date Signed

**ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
VILLAGE OF NORTH BEND
CLIFF ROAD LANDSLIDE REPAIR
SEPTEMBER, 1990**

PAY ITEM NO.	SPEC NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	EST'D. QUANT.	UNIT PRICE BID			ESTIMATED COST
					LABOR	MATERIAL	COMBINED	
1	404	Asphalt Concrete (AC-20)	C.Y.	10			100.00	1,000.00
2	509	Reinforcing Steel Grade 60	Lbs.	53,000			.45	23,850.00
3	511	Class C Concrete	C.Y.	135			115.00	15,525.00
4	606	Guardrail, Type 4	L.F.	400			15.00	6,000.00
5	606	Anchor Assembly	Ea..	2			700.00	1,400.00
6	614	Maintaining Traffic	L.S.	L.S.			2,000.00	2,000.00
7	624	Mobilization	L.S.	L.S.			2,975.00	2,975.00
8	Spec.	Drilling for Piers	L.F.	1450			15.00	21,750.00
9	Spec.	Forms for Piers Furnishing & Placing	L.F.	250			12.00	3,000.00
10	Spec.	Precast Concrete Panels	Ea.	150			135.00	20,250.00
11	Spec.	Berm Replacement	S.Y.	50			25.00	1,250.00
Subtotal								99,000.00
Contingency 15%								+15,000.00
TOTAL = \$ 114,000.00								



Carl D. Walker


SAVAGE, WALKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.
10880 Indeco Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45241-2959

Savage, Walker & Assoc., Inc. certifies that the proposed improvements shall be constructed to provide a useful life expectancy of thirty (30) years.

VILLAGE OF NORTH BEND

HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

CARROLL V. HILL & ASSOCIATES
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS-DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANTS
COLUMBUS, OHIO



ZONING DISTRICTS

- [S-1] SPECIAL
- [R-1] LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
- [R-2] MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
- [R-3] HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
- [B-1] CENTRAL BUSINESS
- [B-2] NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS
- [M-1] RESTRICTED INDUSTRY
- [RF-1] RIVERFRONT RECREATION
- [RF-2] RIVERFRONT INDUSTRY

DISTRICT AMENDMENTS	DATE
ORDINANCE NUMBER	

APPROVED BY VILLAGE PLANNING COMMISSION
DATE: 10/1/77
BY: [Signature]

ADOPTED BY VILLAGE COUNCIL
ORDINANCE NO. 101
DATE: 10/1/77
BY: [Signature]

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For 1991, jurisdictions shall complete the State application form for Issue 2, Small Government, or Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) funding. In addition, the District 2 Integrating Committee requests the following information to determine which projects are funded. Do NOT request a specific type of funding desired, as this is decided by the District Integrating Committee.

1. Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what percentage can be classified as being in poor condition, adequacy and/or serviceability?

Typical examples are:

Road percentage= $\frac{\text{Miles of road that are in poor condition}}{\text{Total miles of road within jurisdiction}}$

Storm percentage= $\frac{\text{Miles of storm sewers that are in poor condition}}{\text{Total miles of storm sewers within jurisdiction}}$

Bridge percentage= $\frac{\text{Number of bridges that are in poor condition}}{\text{Number of bridges within jurisdiction}}$

Not Available

2. What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

Closed	_____	Poor	<u> X </u>
Fair	_____	Good	_____

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

Load has been reduced to 5 tons and road is sliding. Repair of
slide needed for continued safe use.

3. If State Issue 2 funds are awarded, how soon (in weeks or months) after completion of the agreement with OPWC would the opening of bids occur?

3 Months

Please indicate the current status of the project development by circling the appropriate answers below.

- a) Has the Consultant been selected?..... Yes No N/A
- b) Preliminary development or engineering completed? Yes No N/A
- c) Detailed construction plans completed?..... Yes No N/A
- d) All right-of-way acquired?..... Yes No N/A
- e) Utility coordination completed?..... Yes No N/A

Give estimate of time, in weeks or months, to complete any item above not yet completed.

Item C - 2 Months, Bid Process - 1 Month, Construction - 2 Months

4. How will the proposed infrastructure activity impact the general health, welfare, and safety of the service area? (Typical examples include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, and commerce.)

The entire community would benefit from this repair with the use of a safe route of travel.

5. For any project involving GRANTS, the local jurisdiction must provide a MINIMUM OF 10% of the anticipated construction cost. Additionally, the local jurisdiction must pay 100% of the costs of preliminary engineering, inspection of construction, and right-of-way acquisition. If a project is to be funded under Issue 2 or Small Government, the costs of any betterment/expansion are 100% local. Local matching funds must either be currently on deposit with the jurisdiction, or certified as having been approved or encumbered by an outside agency (MRF, CDBG, etc.). Proposed funding must be shown on the Project Application under Section 3.2, "Project Financial Resources". For a project involving LOANS or CREDIT ENHANCEMENTS, 100% of construction costs are eligible for funding, with no local match required.

What matching funds are to be used for this project? (i.e. Federal, State, MRF, Local, etc.)

Local

To what extent are matching funds to be utilized, expressed as a percentage of anticipated CONSTRUCTION costs?

20%

6. Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete ban or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of new building permits.) **THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE CONSIDERED VALID.**

COMPLETE BAN _____

PARTIAL BAN X

NO BAN _____

Will the ban be removed after the project is completed? YES X NO _____

Document with specific information explaining what type of ban currently exists and the agency that imposed the ban.

Load has been reduced to 5 tons by Village Ordinance.

7. What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Use appropriate criteria such as households, traffic counts, ridership figures for public transit, daily users, etc., and equate to an equal measurement of users:

Not Available

For roads and bridges, multiply current documented Average Daily Traffic by 1.2 occupants per car (I.T.E. estimated conversion factor) to determine users per day. Ridership figures for public transit must be documented. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by four (4) to determine the approximate number of users per day.

8. The Ohio Public Works Commission requires that all jurisdictions applying for project funding develop a five year overall Capital Improvement Plan that shall be updated annually. The Plan is to include an inventory and condition survey of existing capital improvements, and a list detailing a schedule for capital improvements and/or maintenance. Both Five-Year Overall and Five-Year Issue 2 Capital Improvement Plans are required.

Copies of these Plans are to be submitted to the District Integrating Committee at the same time the Project Application is submitted.

9. Is the infrastructure to be improved part of a facility that has regional significance? (Consider the number of jurisdictions served, size of service area, trip lengths, functional classification, and length of route.) Provide supporting information.

No regional significance local (small government) benefit only.

OHIO INFRASTRUCTURE BOND PROGRAM (ISSUE 2)
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (LTIP)

DISTRICT 2 - HAMILTON COUNTY

1991 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

JURISDICTION/AGENCY:

Village of North Bend

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION:

Cliff Road Slide Correction

PROPOSED FUNDING:

ELIGIBLE CATEGORY:

POINTS

10

1) Type of project

10 Points - Bridge, road, stormwater
5 Points - All other projects

10? *

2) If Issue 2/LTIP funds are granted, how soon after the Project Agreement is completed would a construction contract be awarded? (Even though the jurisdictions will be asked this question, the Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience.)

DID DELHI RD.
GO OUT ON TIME?

10 Points - Will definitely be awarded in 1991
5 Points - Some doubt whether it can be awarded in 1991
0 Points - No way it can be awarded in 1991

10

3) What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

15 Points - Poor condition
10 Points - Fair to Poor condition
5 Points - Fair condition

NOTE: If infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for Issue 2/LTIP funding, unless it is a betterment project that will improve serviceability.

- 2
- 4) If the project is built, what will be its effect on the facility's serviceability?

5 Points - Will significantly effect serviceability
4 Points -
3 Points - Will moderately effect serviceability
2 Points -
1 Point - Will have little or no effect on serviceability

- 0
- 5) Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what portion can be classified as being in poor or worse condition, and/or inadequate in service?

10 Points - 50% and over
8 Points - 40% to 49%
6 Points - 30% to 39%
4 Points - 20% to 29%
2 Points - 10% to 19%
0 Points - Less than 10%

- 2
- 6) How important is the project to the health, welfare, and safety of the public and the citizens of the District and/or the service area?

10 Points - Significant importance
8 Points -
6 Points - Moderate importance
4 Points -
2 Points - Minimal importance

- 6
- 7) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?

10 Points - Poor
8 Points -
6 Points - Fair
4 Points -
2 Points - Excellent

- 2
- 8) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Matching funds may be local, Federal, ODOT, MRF, etc. or a combination of funds.

5 Points - More than 50%
4 Points - 40% to 49.9%
3 Points - 30% to 39.9%
2 Points - 20% to 29.9%
1 Point - 10% to 19.9%

MINIMUM 10% MATCHING FUNDS REQUIRED

5

- 9) Has any formal action by a Federal, State, or local governmental agency resulted in a partial or complete ban on the usage or expansion of the usage for the infrastructure? Examples include weight limits on structures and moratoriums on building permits in particular area due to local flooding downstream. Points can be awarded ONLY if construction of the project being rated will cause the ban to be removed.

10 Points - Complete ban
5 Points - Partial ban
0 Points - No ban

2

- 10) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria includes traffic counts & households served, which converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

10 Points - 10,000 and Over
8 Points - 7,500 to 9,999
6 Points - 5,000 to 7,499
4 Points - 2,500 to 4,999
2 Points - 2,499 and Under

1

- 11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider originations & destinations of traffic, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, functional classification, etc.

5 Points - Major impact
4 Points -
3 Points - Moderate impact
2 Points -
1 Point - Minimal or no impact

TOTAL AVAILABLE = 100 POINTS